## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNI	Α

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ASHLEY CEDRIC SMITH,

Defendant.

Case No. 15-cr-00194-JST-1

## ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Defendant Ashley Cedrick Smith pleaded guilty to a single count of possession of child pornography on December 18, 2015. On April 15, 2016, the Court sentenced him to a term of 120 months.

On January 6, 2021, attorney Steve Whitworth filed a motion for Mr. Smith's compassionate release based on the alleged violation of Mr. Smith's Eighth Amendment rights. ECF No. 51. On January 8, 2021, the Court set a briefing schedule, ordering the Government to respond by January 22, 2021 and Defendant to reply by January 29, 2021. ECF No. 52.

After the Government's opposition deadline passed, the Courtroom Deputy contacted the parties regarding the motion. The Government responded that the AUSA assigned to Mr. Smith's case had left the office. On January 27, 2021, AUSA Ajay Krishnamurthy appeared and filed a motion to extend the briefing schedule. ECF No. 54. Defendant did not object, and on February 3, 2021, the Court extended the Government's opposition deadline to February 5, 2021, and Defendant's reply deadline to February 12, 2021. ECF No. 56. The Government filed its opposition on February 5, 2021. ECF No. 59.

No reply was filed on February 12, 2021. On February 18, 2021, the Courtroom Deputy

Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

emailed the parties asking if and when a reply would be filed. Mr. Whitworth responded on
February 20, 2021, requesting a reply deadline of March 5, 2021 because he had started a "life
case" in Sacramento Superior Court. The Courtroom Deputy replied and instructed Mr.
Whitworth to file a request to extend deadlines on the docket either as a stipulation or as an
administrative motion.

Mr. Whitworth then went radio silent. Nothing was filed on the docket. The requested reply date of March 5, 2021 came and went. Therefore, in order to retain control of the docket, and concerned about Mr. Whitworth's lack of responsiveness, on March 8, 2021 the Court set a hearing for March 11, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. ECF No. 62. The Court's intention was to admonish Mr. Whitworth and set a reply briefing deadline so that the pending motion could be resolved.

Mr. Whitworth did not appear when the case was called on March 11, 2021. Hoping to prompt Mr. Whitworth's appearance, the Courtroom Deputy then emailed Mr. Whitworth a reminder and included the Zoom access credentials for Mr. Whitworth's ease of reference. Mr. Whitworth still did not appear.

Accordingly, the hearing set for March 11, 2021 is CONTINUED to March 19, 2021 at 9:30 a.m. At the same date and time, attorney Whitworth is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE why monetary sanctions should not be imposed against him. Federal courts have the inherent power to impose monetary sanctions for willful disobedience of a court order after a litigant has been given notice and an opportunity to be heard. Roadway Express, Inc. v. Piper, 447 U.S. 752, 764-67 (1980) (citations omitted). A written response to this order to show cause is due by March 17, 2021.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 11, 2021

ed States District Judge